

FINAL STUDY REPORT

Study Title: Post-operative pain in children with cerebral palsy following major hip surgery: a double blind randomised placebo controlled trial of pre-operative Botulinum toxin type A. [The Post-Operative Pain in cerebral Palsy (POPPIES) trial]

IRAS: 64959EudraCT Number: 2010-023240-33

Chief Investigator: Fabian Norman-Taylor

Sponsor: Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust

List of Principal Investigators and Sites	Fabian Norman-Taylor Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust
List of Publications (or plans for publications) including those for patients (if applicable)	<p>Post-Operative Pain in Cerebral Palsy (POPPIES) Trial: A randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial of the use of botulinum toxin A pre-operatively for children with bilateral cerebral palsy undergoing major hip surgery.</p> <p>Elsbeth Will MSc¹, Nicholas Magill MSc², Gary Doherty MB³, Charlie Fairhurst FRCPH¹, Professor Andreas Roposch MD, Claire Lundy MB³, Fabian Norman-Taylor MBBS¹</p> <p>¹Evelina London Children's Hospital, London, United Kingdom; ²Department of Biostatistics, King's Clinical Trials Unit, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neurosciences, King's College London, London, UK; ³Royal Belfast Hospital for Sick Children, Belfast, UK, ⁴Institute of Child Health, University College London, UK.</p> <p>Corresponding author: Mr Fabian Norman-Taylor, Department of Paediatric Orthopaedics, Evelina London Children's Hospital London SE1 7EH, UK; fabian.norman-taylor@gstt.nhs.uk</p>
Study Start and End Dates	First Patient First Visit: October 2011 Last Patient Last Visit: January 2015
Study Design	Randomised, double-blind, parallel arms, placebo-controlled trial. Children with hypertonic CP aged 2 to 15 years awaiting bony hip surgery at a single tertiary hospital were randomised to receive either BTX-A or placebo injections into the muscles of the hip on a single occasion immediately prior to surgery. The dose was calculated in accordance with European Consensus statement 2009 for BTX-A in children with CP. A computerised minimisation randomisation program was used, stratifying for age and surgery (unilateral or bilateral). Participants, investigators and those assessing outcomes were masked to group assignment. The primary outcome, Paediatric Pain Profile (PPP), was recorded before and after surgery, and weekly for 6 weeks. Participants were included in the primary and safety analyses by intention to treat. The trial was registered on the EudraCT database (2010-023240-33).

Background and Rationale	<p>Cerebral palsy (CP) is the commonest cause of physical disability in childhood. It affects up to three children per 1000 throughout Europe.¹ The Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) describes their level of motor ability, and over 25% of children with CP are in the most severely affected groups, levels IV and V. These children are not independently ambulant and are more likely to have cognitive and communication difficulties and are at high risk of developing hip displacement.^{2,3} It is well-established that hip displacement is painful for half or more children.^{4,5,6} Those children who present to orthopaedic services with progressive femoral head displacement require bony surgery (osteotomy) as long-term follow-up studies have demonstrated that this maintains the position of the femoral head in the acetabulum over time better than muscle surgery alone, and reduces the likelihood of a painful hip due to dislocation.^{7,8,9,10}</p> <p>The management of pain in the severely neurologically impaired child undergoing hip surgery is challenging, and various strategies have been employed, including the use of post-operative epidurals.^{11,12} In a child with spasticity abnormally high post-operative muscle tone may cause painful muscle spasms.¹³ These involuntary and sustained muscle contractions are thought to contribute significantly to pain in children with CP. There are a number of treatments available for muscle spasm in cerebral palsy; all are systemic except botulinum toxin which targets individual muscles by means of intramuscular injection. Botulinum toxin type A (BTX-A) injection is a well established and clinically effective treatment for muscle spasticity and the management of chronic pain in CP.^{14,15}</p> <p>Research suggests it may have a beneficial effect in reducing post-operative pain due to spasticity.^{16,17,18} Graham and co-workers established that BTX-A was effective in the post-operative period for children with cerebral palsy undergoing muscle surgery for hip displacement. However, describing pain in children with cognitive impairment is challenging.^{19,20,21,22} Hunt et al. provided a validated pain profile questionnaire, the Paediatric Pain Profile (PPP), which objectively measures pain in the more severely neurologically affected group of children with communication difficulties.²² Hunt demonstrated that an observer's assessment of a child's pain into different levels of severity (none, mild, moderate, severe or very severe) translated approximately into differences in scores on the PPP of ten points. The PPP provides for this group of children a user-friendly pain score, which was already in clinical use in our hospital.</p> <p>The aim of this study was to test the hypothesis that BTX-A injections given to children with CP immediately prior to bony hip surgery affect pain experienced over a 6-week post-operative period.</p>
No. of Patients (planned and analysed)	<p>The aim was to recruit 56 patients</p> <p>There were 54 participants</p>

Inclusion/exclusion criteria	<p>The participants were recruited from children on the waiting list for hip surgery. Inclusion criteria included a diagnosis of bilateral hypertonic CP with GMFCS levels IV or V, aged 2-15 years inclusive, who required bony hip surgery for displacement, and whose communication ability was appropriate for the use of the PPP. Patients were excluded if they had received intramuscular BTX-A within 4 months prior to surgery, had an acute and current systemic infection or illness, had a previous reaction to BTX-A, were likely to receive at the time of drug administration medications that might interact with BTX-A, or if their carers had insufficient understanding of English to complete the questionnaires. After screening, written information about the study was given to the carers, and written consent for the trial was obtained at the pre-admission out-patient appointment held a few weeks prior to surgery.</p>
Investigational Medicinal Product(s) (including comparator, if applicable), mode of administration and batch number(s)	<p>The trial drug injections were given by the surgeon under ultrasound guidance into the adductor muscles, medial hamstrings and iliopsoas muscles on both sides, after the patient was anaesthetised on the day of surgery. The dose was based on the patient's weight in accordance with European Consensus statement 2009 for BTX-A in children with CP.²³ This meant that 2 units of Botox® (Allergan Ltd., Marlow, Buckinghamshire) per kilogram could be used at each site, up to a total of 50 units at each site, and a total maximum of 300 units per child. All patients received a total of 6 injections. There were no further BTX-A or placebo injections during the trial.</p>
Duration of Treatment	<p>Single dose</p>
Primary and Secondary Objective(s)	<p>To assess the benefit to children with cerebral palsy of having botulinum toxin injections prior to major hip surgery, in order to reduce their post-operative pain. To describe the pain experience of children with cerebral palsy undergoing major hip surgery. The research question was whether we can safely use Botulinum Toxin (BTXA) to improve post-operative pain and therefore ease the post-operative rehabilitation of children with cerebral palsy undergoing major surgery for hip displacement. Central to this study was the hypothesis that children with cerebral palsy have severe postoperative pain after bony hip surgery and that some of this severe pain is due to increased muscle spasticity (spasm). Therefore the study hypothesis was that BTXA will reduce postoperative pain by reducing muscle spasm in children with cerebral palsy. This double blind randomised placebo controlled trial tested this hypothesis. The underlying aim and motivation for this study was a desire to improve post-operative pain management for these children.</p>
Endpoints/ Outcome Measure(s)	<p>The primary outcome measure was the PPP.²² This questionnaire scores pain by rating twenty items of observed behaviour on an ordinal scale of 0 ('not at all') to 3 ('a great deal') with a composite score of 0 to 60. Six weeks after surgery was chosen as the primary end point on the basis of previous literature, which suggested a peak effect of botulinum toxin approximately 3 weeks post injection, and our clinical experience of post-operative pain in</p>

	<p>this population.^{14,15} PPP was recorded at weekly time points to create a longitudinal measure of pain for each child and explore the optimal effects of BTX-A throughout this post-operative phase.</p> <p>The secondary outcomes included health-related quality of life using the CPCHILD questionnaire.²⁵ This assesses health status, comfort, wellbeing and ease of caregiving of children with severe developmental disabilities, such as CP. The CPCHILD consists of 37 items categorised into six sections: activities of daily living, positioning, transferring and mobility, comfort and emotions, communication and social interaction, health and overall quality of life. The caregiver rates each section based on the past two weeks. The maximum score is 100, and the higher the score the better the quality of life of the child.</p> <p>Other secondary outcomes included a parent/carer analogue scale of the child's pain in the previous 24 hours (0 being no pain to 10 being worst pain imaginable), length of stay in hospital, use of analgesic medication, time until return to school or day-care, the child's toleration of sitting in their usual chair and the number times the parents had to attend to their child during the night.</p> <p>Adverse events and serious adverse events were assessed by the CI with guidance from the King's Health Partners Clinical Trials Office, recorded and reported to the Data Monitoring Committee (DMC) and MHRA (Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency, United Kingdom).</p>
<p>Methodology</p>	<p>Study Design</p> <p>This was a single centre, single surgeon, prospective, double-blind, parallel, superiority randomised placebo-controlled trial (RCT) carried out in a United Kingdom tertiary children's hospital. Ethical approval was awarded by the NES Research Ethics Committee for Wales (11/WA/0010). The trial protocol was published on the registry and results database of ClinicalTrials.gov (www.ClinicalTrials.gov; identifier: NCT01437644).</p> <p>Participants</p> <p>The participants were recruited from children on the waiting list for hip surgery. Inclusion criteria included a diagnosis of bilateral hypertonic CP with GMFCS levels IV or V, aged 2-15 years inclusive, who required bony hip surgery for displacement, and whose communication ability was appropriate for the use of the PPP. Patients were excluded if they had received intramuscular BTX-A within 4 months prior to surgery, had an acute and current systemic infection or illness, had a previous reaction to BTX-A, were likely to receive at the time of drug administration medications that might interact with BTX-A, or if their carers had insufficient understanding of English to complete the questionnaires. After screening, written information about the study was given to the carers, and written consent for the trial was obtained at the pre-admission out-patient appointment held a few weeks prior to surgery.</p> <p>Randomisation and masking (blinding)</p> <p>Participants were randomly allocated on the day of surgery to receive either BTX-A or placebo (saline injections) in an even ratio. Treatment allocation was carried out, independently of the</p>

research team, using a computerised minimisation procedure by King's Clinical Trials Unit at King's College London. This was stratified by age (above or below 7 years) and extent of surgery (unilateral or bilateral). An unmasked email was then automatically generated and sent to the clinical trials pharmacist and to the Medicines for Children Research Nurses (MCRN). Two of these nurses drew up the trial drug into six 1ml syringes: either BTX-A or placebo, both of which were clear colourless liquids. All syringes were labelled 'POPPIES trial drug'. The patient's carers and families and all other staff were blinded, including the trial co-ordinator who collected the data.

Procedures

The trial drug injections were given by the surgeon under ultrasound guidance into the adductor muscles, medial hamstrings and iliopsoas muscles on both sides, after the patient was anaesthetised on the day of surgery. The dose was based on the patient's weight in accordance with European Consensus statement 2009 for BTX-A in children with CP.²³ This meant that 2 units of Botox® (Allergan Ltd., Marlow, Buckinghamshire) per kilogram could be used at each site, up to a total of 50 units at each site, and a total maximum of 300 units per child. All patients received a total of 6 injections. There were no further BTX-A or placebo injections during the trial.

Surgery for hip displacement was performed by one surgeon with assistants in accordance with established practice and surgical techniques.^{7,24} The indication for surgery was a migration index of more than 40%. If normal, the contralateral hip was also treated for patients under the age of 10 years and for those with contralateral windsweep. All patients had a femoral osteotomy and release of adductor longus and iliopsoas tendons. If required, an open reduction was performed through an anterior approach. An acetabuloplasty was performed if there was residual on-table instability, sometimes supplemented by a capsulorrhaphy. No cast was applied. Post-operatively, epidural analgesia was continued until the morning of the second post-operative day. If the epidural was not successful, a morphine infusion was used. Discharge was planned for the fourth post-operative day.

The PPP was recorded at the pre-operative clinical appointment, within 24 hours of surgery, before discharge from hospital, at weekly intervals (for 5 weeks) by telephone, and in the clinic at 6 weeks after surgery. A parent/carer analogue scale of pain was collected at the same time as the PPP. Health-related quality of life, recorded using the Caregiver Priorities and Child Health Index of Life with Disabilities (CPCHILD), was measured at the outpatient clinic appointments pre-operatively and at 6 weeks after surgery. A log of analgesic medication was collected daily while an inpatient and at weekly intervals (for 5 weeks) by telephone, and in the clinic at 6 weeks after surgery. Other outcomes were recorded weekly by telephone for 5 weeks and then in the clinic at 6 weeks.

Clinical examination of ranges of movement, radiographic measurement of hip displacement using the Reimers' Migration

	<p>Index (RMI)^{26,27} and dysplasia using the Acetabular Index (AI)^{28,29} were recorded at the baseline assessments, and at post-operative outpatient clinic appointments at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months after surgery as part of the routine management of these children. PPP and CPCHILD scores were also recorded at 3 and 6 months post-operatively.</p>
Statistical Methods	<p>It was considered that a reduction in the PPP score of 10 points would be clinically significant. This is the minimum clinically significant difference and assumes a more conservative effect than found previously.¹⁴ This was also based on research that demonstrated that an observer's assessment of a child's pain into different levels of severity (none, mild, moderate, severe or very severe) translated approximately into differences in PPP scores of ten points.²² Power calculations were based on independent t-tests between two normally distributed groups. The computer program 'G*Power 3' was used to perform the calculations. It was assumed that the mean pain score in the control was 40 points on the PPP and that the mean score in the treatment group was 30 points. The standard deviation in both groups was assumed to be 12 points, equating to a standardised difference of 0.83. With these parameters, a standard significance level of 5% and power of 80%, the study required 24 patients in each group. The aim was to recruit 56 patients, 28 for each trial arm, allowing for a potential 15% loss to follow-up.</p> <p>All analyses followed the intention-to-treat principle (analysis groups defined by participants' random treatment allocation and used stata v13. A significance level of 5% was used and all tests were two-sided. The primary outcome (ppp) and two secondary outcomes (cpchild and the parent/carer analogue pain scale) were analysed using linear mixed models. The dependent variable was outcome at the various post-randomisation time points. The models' independent variables were the two randomisation stratifiers (age category and extent of surgery), an indicator for time since randomisation, treatment group and an interaction between time and treatment group. In addition the models included a random intercept for participant. The analysis models used maximum likelihood and assumed that the missingness mechanism was missing at random. For the primary endpoint (six weeks after randomisation), the estimated treatment was calculated using a linear combination of coefficients. A single summary score of analgesic use for each participant was calculated by summing together a daily frequency score for simple (range of 0-4/day) and opioid analgesics (range of 0-3/day). The opioids were given a weight of twice that of the simple analgesics. Five rounds of multiple imputation were used to address missing analgesic data that were collected by telephone. The effect of treatment on the summed scores was investigated using multiple regression where the independent variables were trial arm and the minimisation stratifiers. Four outcomes that were not normally distributed (length of hospital stay, time to return to school or day-care, ability to tolerate sitting, and sleep disturbances) were analysed using the mann-whitney test. The statistician remained blind until the end of the analyses)</p>

Summary of Adverse Events	<p>There were 16 serious adverse events in total during a 6-month follow-up period, with slightly fewer (n=6) in the btx-a trial arm. There was one death in the placebo arm (n=1). Other events were prolonged hospital stay (n=5), hospitalisation (n=8), seizures (n=1) and pyrexia (n=1). There were 302 adverse events in total (n=156 in btx-a toxin group). Of these, none were related to trial drug, and 219 were unremarkable post-operative findings. There was no evidence of a relationship between trial arm and intensity of aes ($\chi^2(2)=0.83$, $p=0.66$).</p>
Conclusions including Efficacy & Safety Results	<p>Results</p> <p>Fifty-four participants were recruited from 21st September 2011 to 4th July 2014. Fifty-four participants were randomised to either botulinum toxin (n=27) or placebo (n=27). All of these were given the intervention and all but four (n=3 in botulinum toxin group) were followed up at the primary end point (6 weeks after randomisation). There was a substantial difference in GMFCS levels between the two treatment groups. Other demographic and clinical variables were well balanced.</p> <p>The group differences in PPP were small at all time points and none, including at the primary end point, was found to be statistically significant. The estimated standardised group difference at six weeks after randomisation was 0.11. The largest estimated difference was at two weeks after randomisation and was in favour of intervention, but there was no trend across all time points.</p> <p>There was no statistically significant difference between the treatment groups for CPCHILD. The standardised group difference was 0.28. The parent/carer analogue scale also showed no significant group differences. The largest group difference was at two weeks after randomisation but this was not consistent with the overall trend of a lack of effect.</p> <p>There was slightly higher use of analgesics in the BTX-A arm (mean 68.7, SD 24.0) compared to placebo (mean 63.9; SD 17.7). The estimated difference was not significant (mean difference of 5.02; 95% CI -6.71, 16.75, $p=0.39$). There was no difference in length of hospital stay (median 5 days (IQR 4-7) in BTX-A arm and 5 days (IQR 5-6) in placebo arm; $z=-0.11$, $p=0.91$), in time until return to school/day-care (median 34 days (IQR 22-49) in BTX-A arm and 38 days (IQR 28-43) in placebo arm; $z=0.50$), or ability to tolerate sitting at 6 weeks (median 240 minutes (IQR 210-420) in BTX-A arm and 300 minutes (IQR 180-420) in placebo arm; $z=0.85$, $p=0.39$). There was no evidence of a treatment difference in number of sleep disturbances per night at 6 weeks (median 1 occasion (IQR 0-3) in BTX-A arm and 1 occasion (IQR 0-3) in placebo arm; $z=-0.14$; $p=0.89$).</p> <p>There were 16 serious adverse events in total during a 6-month</p>

follow-up period, with slightly fewer (n=6) in the BTX-A trial arm. There was one death in the placebo arm (n=1). Other events were prolonged hospital stay (n=5), hospitalisation (n=8), seizures (n=1) and pyrexia (n=1). There were 302 adverse events in total (n=156 in BTX-A toxin group). Of these, none were related to trial drug, and 219 were unremarkable post-operative findings. There was no evidence of a relationship between trial arm and intensity of AEs ($\chi^2(2)=0.83, p=0.66$).

Discussion

This was a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial to study the effect of pre-operative BTX-A on post-operative pain in children with cerebral palsy. The trial demonstrated no difference when using a validated and widely used pain score (the PPP), and a robust methodology and statistical analysis. This result is supported by the secondary outcomes, i.e. the CPCHILD quality of life index and a simple pain score out of 10. Therefore the evidence does not support the technique described here as an effective strategy for managing this difficult recovery period for these complex patients.

The Melbourne group demonstrated a reduction in pain following adductor surgery if BTX-A was injected into the muscles to be released 5 to 10 days beforehand in a masked placebo-controlled trial.¹⁶ The reduction in pain was demonstrated mainly during the first 48 hours, when painful spasms are normally at their worst, but also seemed to be maintained over three months. In the Melbourne cohort the BTX-A was injected in advance of surgery, unlike the current study. We considered injection of BTX-A in advance because of its delayed onset, but ethically we could not justify it. In any case, if there was an effect, it should have been detected by the pain scores during the weeks that followed discharge, as BTX-A is known to have an effect over a number of months. The surgery conducted in Melbourne was considerably more minor and less painful. The magnitude of the surgery may influence the effectiveness of BTX-A, for example as a result of post-operative catabolism, but we are not aware of any information on this.

Although the PPP is well validated, it may be argued that it is not sensitive enough to detect a difference between the two groups. It was intended for the measurement of chronic pain, rather than pain in the acute post-operative period. BTX-A has been shown to be useful for pain management for the chronic pain of cerebral palsy.¹⁴ A difference in post-operative pain might be detectable using a pain score designed for this purpose.

Larger doses of BTX-A might have been effective. Again, the doses used in this study are intended for functional gains in ambulant children and for the management of pain in the chronic setting, rather than the post-operative period.

	<p>Although we are anecdotally aware of the common use of BTX-A for post-operative pain management after major hip surgery, we have been unable to demonstrate its benefit.</p>
References	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Stanley F, Blair E, Alberman E. Cerebral palsies: epidemiology and causal pathways. <i>Clinics in developmental medicine No 151</i>. London: Mac Keith Press 2000. 2. Palisano R, Rosenbaum P, Walter S, Russell D, Wood E, Galuppi B. Development and reliability of a system to classify gross motor function in children with cerebral palsy. <i>Dev Med Child Neurol</i> 1997; 39(4):214-223. 3. Cooperman DR, Bartucci E, Dietrick E, Millar EA. Hip dislocation in spastic cerebral palsy: long-term consequences. <i>J Pediatr Orthop</i> 1987; 7(3):268-276. 4. Graham HK. Painful hip dislocation in cerebral palsy. <i>Lancet</i> 2002; 359:907-8. 5. Spencer JD. Reconstruction of dislocated hips in children with cerebral palsy. <i>BMJ</i> 1999; 318: 1021-2 6. Soo B, Howard J, Boyd R, et al. Hip Displacement in Cerebral Palsy. <i>J Bone Joint Surg Am</i> 2006; 88(1):121-129. 7. Dhawale AA, Karatas AF, Holmes L, Rogers KJ, Dabney KW, Miller F. Long-term outcome of reconstruction of the hip in young children with cerebral palsy. <i>Bone Joint J</i> 2013; 95-B:259-65. 8. Rutz E, Vavken P, Camathias C, Haase C, Jünemann S, Brunner R. Long-Term Results and Outcome Predictors in One-Stage Hip Reconstruction in Children with Cerebral Palsy. <i>J Bone Joint Surg Am</i> 2015; 97(6):500-506 . 9. Cornell MS, Hatrick NC, Boyd R, Baird G, Spencer JD. The hip in children with cerebral palsy. Predicting the outcome of soft tissue surgery. <i>Clin Orthop Relat Res</i> 1997; (340):165-171. 10. Shore B, Yu X, Desai S, Selber P, Wolfe R, Graham HK. Adductor Surgery to Prevent Hip Displacement in Children with Cerebral Palsy: The Predictive Role of the Gross Motor Function Classification System. <i>J Bone Joint Surg Am</i> 2012; 94(4):326-334. 11. Nolan J, Chalkiadis GA, Low J, Olesch CA, Brown TCK. Anaesthesia and pain management in cerebral palsy. <i>Anaesthesia</i> 2000; 55(1):32-41. 12. Verrotti A, Greco R, Spalice A, Chiarelli F, Iannetti P. Pharmacotherapy of Spasticity in Children With Cerebral Palsy. <i>Pediatr Neurol</i> 2006; 34(1)1-6 13. Roscigno CI. Addressing spasticity-related pain in children with spastic cerebral palsy. <i>J Neurosci Nurs</i> 2002; 34(3):123-133. 14. Lundy CT, Doherty GM, Fairhurst CB. Botulinum toxin type A injections can be an effective treatment for pain in children with hip spasms and cerebral palsy. <i>Dev Med Child</i>

Neurol, 2009;51:705-710.

15. Preiss, R.A., Condie, D. N., Rowley. D.I., Graham, H.K. The effects of botulinum toxin (BTX-A) on spasticity of the lower limb and on gait in cerebral palsy. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2003; 85(7):943-948.
16. Barwood S, Baillieu C, Boyd R, Brereton K, Low J, Natrass G et al. Analgesic effects of botulinum toxin A: a randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2000; 42(2):116-121.
17. Hamdy R, Montpetit K, Raney E et.al. Botulinum toxin type A injection in alleviating postoperative pain and improving quality of life in lower extremity limb lengthening and deformity correction: a pilot study. *J Paediatr Orthop* 2009; 29(5):427-434.
18. Dohin B, Garin C, Vanhems P, Kohler R. Botulinum toxin for postoperative care after limb surgery in cerebral palsy children. (French). *Revue de Chirurgie orthopaedique et reparatrice de l'appareil moteur* 2007; 93(7):674-681.
19. Houlihan CM, O'Donnell M, Conaway M, Stevenson RD. Bodily pain and health-related quality of life in children with cerebral palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2004; 46(5):305-310.
20. Oberlander TF, O'Donnell ME. Beliefs about pain among professionals working with children with significant neurologic impairment. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2001; 43(2):138-140.
21. McKearnan KA, Kieckhefer GM, Engel JM, Jensen MP, Labyak S. Pain in children with cerebral palsy: a review. *J Neurosci Nurs* 2004; 36(5):252-259.
22. Hunt A, Goldman A, Seers K, et al. Clinical validation of the Paediatric Pain Profile. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2004; 46(1):9-18.
23. Heinen F, Desloovere K, Schroeder AS et al. The updated European Consensus 2009 on the use of Botulinum toxin for children with cerebral palsy. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol* 2009, doi:10.1016/j.ejpn.2009.09.005
24. Rutz, E, Brunner R. The Pediatric LCP Hip Plate for Fixation of Proximal Femoral Osteotomy in Cerebral Palsy and Severe Osteoporosis. *J Pediatr Orthop B* 2010; 30(7):726–731
25. Narayanan UG, Fehlings D, Weir S, Knights S, Kiran S, Campbell K. Initial development and validation of the Caregiver Priorities and Child Health Index of Life with Disabilities (CPCHILD). *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2006 48(10):804-812.
26. Reimers J. The stability of the hip in children: a radiological study of the results of muscle surgery in cerebral palsy. *Acta Orthop Scand* 1980; 51(sup184):1-100.
27. Scrutton D, Baird G. Surveillance measures of the hips of children with bilateral cerebral palsy. *Arch Dis Child* 1997;

	<p>76(4):381-384.</p> <p>28. Cooke PH, Cole WG, Carey RP. Dislocation of the hip in cerebral palsy. Natural history and predictability. <i>J Bone Joint Surg Br</i> 1989; 71(3):441-446.</p> <p>29. Tonniss D. Normal values of the hip joint for the evaluation of x-rays in children and adults. <i>Clin Orthop</i> 1976;119:39-47</p>
--	--

Authorised by: Fabian Norman-Taylor

Signature:



Date: 4th May 2017

